

Uygurca Metinler I: Kuanşi İm Pusu (Ses İşiten İlah), Ankara 1993 (pp. 317-318); Bazin, L.: *Les systems chronologiques dans le monde Turc ancien*, Budapest 1991 (pp. 318-319); Barutçu, S.F.: *Uygurca Sadaprarudita ve Dharmodgata Bodhisattva Hikâyesi*, Ankara 1987 (unpublished) (p. 319); Uç, T.: *Anadolu Türkçesinin Başlangıç Döneminde Türk Söz Varlığı*, Ankara 1986 (unpublished) (pp. 320-321); Tugusheva, L.Ju.: *Ujgurskaja versija biografii sjuan'-tshana...*, Moskva 1991 (p. 321); Róna-Tas, A.: *An Introduction to Turkology*, Szeged 1991; Choi, H., *Oğuz Grubu Türk Dillerinin Karşılaştırmalı Fonolojisi*, Ankara 1992 (unpublished) (pp. 323-324); Golden, P.B.: *An Introduction to the History of the Turkic Peoples*, Wiesbaden 1992, rev. by E. Ceylan (pp. 324-325); Eraslan, K.: *Alî-Sîr Nevâyî, Mîzânü'l Evzân (Vazinlerin Terazisi)*, Ankara 1993, rev. by Z. Ölmez (pp. 325-326).

Marzanna Pomorska

*

A LETTER TO THE EDITOR

The connection with Prof. E. Lipiński's review published in this issue of the „*Folia Orientalia*” (p. 239) we have received the following remarks from Dr. P. Muchowski:

„— There has never been any disagreement in Qumranology as regards the fact that 3Q15 is written in a dialect of Mishnaic Hebrew. The controversy concerns a narrower specification of this variety within Mishnaic Hebrew. In this respect my conclusion is not the same as J. T. Milik's (*DJD* III, 1962);

— the word *n'bt* (19,7) is not one of my new readings. It was first presented by B. Pixner in 1983;

— the translation of the passage in 3,11 is a conscious proposal of mine. I do not share Prof. E. Lipiński's opinion that we are dealing with an inconsistency in this case”.