

Climate change impacts on water resources and emerging strategies for sustainable management

Dhanasingh Sivalinga Vijayan¹⁾ , Lamneithem Hangshing²⁾ , Arvindan Sivasuriyan^{*3)} , Łukasz Jan Wodzyński³⁾ , Marta Kiraga³⁾ , Wiktor Sitek³⁾ , Eugeniusz Koda³⁾ 

¹⁾ SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Vadapalani Campus, 600026, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

²⁾ Visva Bharati University, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Palli-Siksha Bhavana (Institute of Agriculture), Visva-Bharati, 731235, Santiniketan, West Bengal, India

³⁾ Warsaw University of Life Sciences-SGGW, Institute of Civil Engineering, 159 Nowoursynowska St, 02-776, Warsaw, Poland

* Corresponding author

RECEIVED 04.11.2025

ACCEPTED 19.02.2026

AVAILABLE ONLINE 16.06.2026

Abstract: The strong influence of climate change on terrestrial-aquatic ecosystems is illustrated by changes in the hydrological cycle, water quality degradation, and the intensification of extreme events such as floods and droughts. This review brings together the international evidence on the coupled impacts of climatic variability on freshwater availability, pollution, agricultural practices, and governance systems. The results suggest that changes in precipitation regimes, increases in ambient temperatures, and accelerated snowmelt all reduce streamflow and groundwater recharge. These alterations further disturb seasonal water availability, ecosystem services, and the long-term security of communities dependent on freshwater resources. At the same time, anthropogenic pollution and eutrophication are additional threats to aquatic ecosystems. Extreme climatic events increase the risk of flooding and drought, which in turn result in socio-economic losses and reduced agricultural productivity. Fisheries and food systems, more generally, are highly sensitive to increases in temperature and habitat degradation. The review also highlights that vulnerable regions require integrated monitoring, early-warning systems, and locally adaptable mitigation strategies. Good governance, grounded in the principles of equity, inclusion, and adaptive management, is needed for sustainable water allocation and resilience-building. The combination of climate-smart agricultural practices, technological interventions, and transboundary cooperation offers promising ways forward for achieving water sustainability amid future climatic uncertainty. Overall, the study emphasises the need for science-based policy, stakeholder participation, and coordinated international action.

Keywords: climate change, hydrological cycle, sustainability, water quality, water resources

INTRODUCTION

Industrialisation, urbanisation, and climatic variability are placing a serious burden on water resources. Dyes, heavy metals, fertilisers, and pesticides contamination of aquatic ecosystems continues to worsen and threaten human health, thus calling for more urgent improvements in treatment and reuse (Rathi, Kumar and Vo, 2021). High levels of heavy metals in many parts of Asia and Africa have been linked to population pressure and the lack

of environmental protection measures (Ismanto *et al.*, 2023). These anthropogenic pressures have increased the rate of groundwater depletion and pollution, making the imperative for integrated water-resource management (Elsaidy *et al.*, 2025).

An integrative understanding of interactions between humans and water, grounded in frameworks that blend the natural and social sciences, is necessary for sustainable water management (Gohari *et al.*, 2025). Transdisciplinary approaches are used to improve governance and resource efficiency by

aligning ecological processes with policy and economic instruments (Chowdhary *et al.*, 2020). Climate change adds to the complexity of these dynamics through changes in precipitation, temperature, and land-use patterns. Pollution control and catchment area protection have shown that effective governance measures can significantly improve water quality in different parts of Europe (Hein *et al.*, 2021). Geological formations, agricultural drainage, and landfill leachate also determine pollutant pathways, thus showing the combined effects of natural and anthropogenic factors on hydrological quality (Lin *et al.*, 2010).

The global hydrological cycle involves constant interaction between surface and groundwater systems, which is disturbed by temperature increases and changes in rainfall regimes driven by climate change (Feng *et al.*, 2018). Several case studies worldwide have observed declining river discharge, increasing salinity, and declining snowmelt, all of which affect streamflow and reduce water availability. Such hydrological stress affects irrigation, urban water supply, and aquatic ecosystems, particularly in densely populated or industrialised areas (Beniston and Stoffel, 2014). Adaptive water pricing and conservation policies have been proven effective in strengthening long-term security; however, uncertainties and modelling gaps in local governance remain major challenges (Dawadi and Ahmad, 2013).

Estimates now predict that by 2030, global water demand will outstrip supply by 40%, and close to 1.8 bn people will live in water-stressed regions. Studies at the regional scale, ranging from the Limpopo River Basin in Africa (El-Mahdy, 2022) to the Upper Blue Nile (Mengistu *et al.*, 2021), show an increasing vulnerability of freshwater yields to rising temperatures and variable precipitation. Similarly, the backward irrigation systems in Central Asia and poor drainage in Mediterranean basins continue to contribute to salinisation and ecosystem degradation. These findings highlight the need for locally based but globally integrated systems of sustainable water governance.

In brief, the interlinkages among human pressures, land-forms, and governance issues provide the context for how climate change exacerbates water scarcity and pollution. This review summarises global evidence on the multiple impacts of climate change on water resources, including water quality, water scarcity, socio-economic impacts, and governance. It emphasises the need for integrated, adaptive management approaches that reconcile environmental protection and socio-economic development goals within the context of sustainable water and land-use management.

CLIMATE CHANGE AND WATER RESOURCES

The hydrological cycle underpins the availability, quality, and spatial distribution of freshwater resources; however, it is currently undergoing major changes in response to climate change, thereby threatening water security at various spatial scales. Yang, Yang and Xia (2021) summarise this new reality and show how the combined effects of increased temperatures, altered precipitation patterns, increased snowmelt, and altered evapotranspiration reshape water storage and flow regimes globally. Their synthesis highlights four interrelated perspectives – classical water-cycle mechanics, hydrological processes, human-natural

coupling, and integrated watershed management – all of which are now coming under stress from climatic forcing.

On the supply side, changes in precipitation and snow-glacier melt have very important consequences. For example, models project that most midlatitude and mountainous areas are experiencing earlier snowmelt and shorter snowpack duration, shifting peak flow timing earlier than the traditional irrigation/consumption season. This change in stream-baseflow dynamics and recharge windows (groundwater) increases vulnerability during dry seasons. Moreover, Fowler *et al.* (2022) identified a surprisingly persistent shift: watersheds experiencing repeated droughts have lower annual streamflow for a given precipitation amount, suggesting that hydrologic system resilience is decreasing (a gap in traditional models that assume stationarity in runoff-precipitation relationships). Groundwater systems are also coming under growing pressure. Although many assessments are conducted on surface flows, the underground compartment is important for maintaining supply during dry spells. Khoi *et al.* (2022) simulated discharge and groundwater recharge in Vietnam and concluded that although recharge might increase under some scenarios, high uncertainty still exists, and many basins could even deplete. Consequently, water managers can no longer make planning decisions based on the assumption that past recharge patterns will continue.

Climate change is also intensifying the hydrological cycle: increased water vapour in the atmosphere, increased evaporation, and more precipitation extremes. Ficklin *et al.* (2022) show that increasing the extremes of wet and dry conditions makes infrastructure and resource design for water systems more challenging. These interactions add to the water-scarce/flood-prone paradox: places may be impacted by both drought and flood with increasing frequency. El Assaoui, Sadok and Merimi (2021) studied Moroccan groundwater resources at the climatic, hydrological, and hydrogeological levels. The software process simulation modelling (SPSM), fifth-generation Penn State/NCAR Mesoscale Model (MM5), and regional climate model (RCM) simulations (e.g., ALADIN-Climat (Fr. acronym: ALADIN – Aire Limitée Adaptation dynamique Développement InterNational) and Modelling System for Agricultural Impacts of Climate Change (MOSAIC)) showed increasing impacts, including saline intrusion, reduced water quality, reduced recharge, and reservoir constraints. Wilk-Woźniak, Krztoń and Górnik (2021) studied the ecological and climatic effects in a deep-dam reservoir in southern Poland over 30 years. Although land-use change and improved watershed management have occurred, global warming prolonged the period of thermal stratification, altering the physical and chemical properties of the reservoir. These findings highlight the complex interaction between socioeconomic development and climate change, which is having a fundamental impact on water systems.

Chakraborti and Shimshack (2022) examined environmental disparities in urban Mexico using nine years of data on toxic water pollution from more than 1,600 industrial plants. Their results showed that low-income neighbourhoods were exposed to higher levels of pollution and that collective action and community pressure played a role in addressing environmental exposure inequities. Tang *et al.* (2022) studied two decades of water pollution control in China, in particular, wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) in ten major river basins. China's urban and rural treatment rates were over 90%, yet pollution

persisted in the eastern river basins, not due to population growth but to economic development. This challenge has been advised to be overcome by improving wastewater collection, strengthening effluent regulation, and expanding treatment capacity.

WATER QUALITY AND POLLUTION

Water pollution is one of the most important threats facing sustainable water management, responsible for more deaths every year than any other environmental hazard. Although freshwater accounts for only one per cent of Earth's total water resources, fast industrialisation, urban expansion, and climate variability continue to deplete this limited resource (Tang *et al.*, 2018). Microbial pathogens make the water-quality protection even more difficult. Saingam, Li, and Yan (2020) examined microbial contamination in a tropical urban estuary and found that conventional faecal-indicator bacteria tests underestimate the presence of pathogens. Direct molecular detection of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, the predominant risk species, highlighted the need for more sophisticated microbial risk assessment tools. Fang *et al.* (2022) found that algal abundance and nutrient enrichment favour the survival of opportunistic bacteria such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Aeromonas hydrophila*, linking eutrophication to increased health risks. Onohuean, Okoh, and Nwodo (2022) reported widespread contamination and antibiotic resistance of *Vibrio* in surface waters of Uganda, consistent with warming-related proliferation observed in the Baltic and Asian seas. These findings support the hypothesis that combined increases in temperature and nutrient loading enhance the persistence and virulence of waterborne pathogens. Several pathogenic microorganisms commonly associated with water pollution include *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Enterococcus* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Clostridium botulinum*, among others. Their persistence in aquatic environments is enhanced by warmer temperatures and nutrient-rich conditions, increasing the risk to human health.

Chemical pollution has been equally serious and often chronic in aquatic ecosystems. Mahabeer and Tekere (2021) found high levels of cadmium and chromium in the South African Umdloti River, attributed to agricultural and industrial wastewater. Potapowicz *et al.* (2022) showed that polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons found in Antarctic waters are derived from atmospheric deposition; thus, long-range transport leads to the spreading of contaminants far beyond their emission source. In Europe, Wang *et al.* (2021) proposed an integrated framework for the relationship between sediment pollution and ecosystem-service loss and estimated economic losses of up to USD9000·ha⁻¹·y⁻¹ in Dutch and Flemish rivers. The results highlight that chemical pollution does not respect city boundaries and is a widespread transboundary problem that requires concerted monitoring and policy implementation.

Cyanobacterial blooms are another major threat to water quality, strongly influenced by climate. Jin *et al.* (2022) proposed an iron-based pre-oxide/combined coagulation method for removing *Microcystis aeruginosa* and alleviating membrane fouling in treatment plants. Ren *et al.* (2021) showed that the abundance of benthic cyanobacteria in Lake Chaohu, China, fluctuates seasonally with temperature and sediment dynamics, and Lu *et al.* (2021) linked emerging pollutants, such as

microplastics and ionic liquids, with cyanobacterial stress responses. Taken together, these results highlight a close relationship among algal bloom occurrences, warming, and nutrient inputs, and thus emphasise the importance of nutrient load management and the implementation of advanced treatment processes. Overall, the ramifications of climate change make existing trajectories of water pollution worse through extreme rainfall, warmer waters, and disrupted biogeochemical cycles. Consequently, subsequent microbial, chemical, and ecological pressures pose major threats to human health, biodiversity, and ecosystem services. Regardless of technological advances – from sophisticated pathogen detection to hybrid coagulation systems and bioindicator surveillance – the global implementation of these technologies still faces major challenges. Addressing these challenges requires institutionalising tighter effluent standards, deepening international cooperation, and applying adaptive management frameworks that link climate policy to water-quality governance, ultimately promoting resilient and sustainable freshwater systems.

WATER-RELATED DISASTERS AND RISK MANAGEMENT

Climate change is increasing the frequency and severity of hydrological hazards (floods, droughts, wildfires, and cyclones), with severe environmental and socio-economic impacts. The risks result from complex interactions among oceanic, atmospheric, and terrestrial systems, and their effects are further amplified by urbanisation, ecological degradation, and the lack of risk management systems.

Flooding remains the most common hazard worldwide. Silva da, Alencar and Almeida de (2022) presented a Non-Stationary Multi-Attribute Utility Theory (NSMAUT) framework for evaluating urban flood risks under a range of demographic and climatic scenarios, and showed that adaptive and time-dependent evaluations significantly enhance decision-making for flood protection. Janizadeh *et al.* (2021) used machine learning ensemble techniques to predict flood susceptibility in the Kalvan watershed in Iran under the representative concentration pathway (RCP) 2.6 and RCP 8.5 scenarios; their model showed that land use change and extreme precipitation are the major factors that amplify flood risk. Li *et al.* (2020) showed that almost 40% of the world's regions have become more vulnerable to flooding since 1985, and this is attributed to the interaction of anthropogenic and climatic drivers. Hochrainer-Stigler *et al.* (2020) proposed a quantitative resilience rating system that relates community preparedness to infrastructure design, which is a practical tool for improving local response capacity. Socio-economic impacts are acute: in Bangladesh's Char Village, Hossain, Sohel, and Ryakitimbo (2020) found that two-thirds of household income was lost post major floods, which resulted in asset disposals and migration – an empirical example of the urgent need for targeted recovery initiatives.

Drought is another form of climatic extremes that causes crop failure, groundwater depletion, and loss of livelihood. Cacciotti *et al.* (2021) showed through the ProteCHt2save project that the combination of information and communication technology (ICT)-based geographic information system (GIS) platforms with decision-support tools strengthens regional

drought risk management. Ulibarri and Scott (2019), analysing the 2012–2016 drought in California show that the prolonged crisis triggers institutional change but also reveals weaknesses in long-term climate adaptation in infrastructure licensing. Nepal, Tripathi, and Adhikari (2021) found that drought and soil erosion are the main drivers of seasonal migration in semi-arid areas, while Zhang *et al.* (2021) quantified drought sensitivity of winter wheat in northern China and attributed yield loss to a reduction in precipitation and heat stress. Collectively, these studies highlight the value of adaptive farming practices and improved soil moisture management.

Wildfires are becoming increasingly common along with drought and heat extremes. Mansoor *et al.* (2022) identified that the frequency of forest fires in the world has increased significantly since 1984 due to changes in the physiology of the vegetation and fuel moisture. They recommended measures in sustainable forest management, such as stress-predictor assessment, community education, and the utilisation of indigenous species to combat fire susceptibility. Parente *et al.* (2018) projected that under RCP 8.5, southern Europe will face unprecedented heat wave intensity and wildfire incidence, requiring more robust investments in adaptation. Mishra, Alnahit, and Campbell (2021) further linked wildfire events to post-fire declines in water quality, highlighting the need to consider watershed restoration alongside fire management strategies.

Cyclones are another major hydro-meteorological threat. Rehman *et al.* (2021) examined coastal communities in Bangladesh and found that informal social networks and local institutions play a decisive role in recovery and adaptation after storm surges. Mohapatra, Harish and Dwivedi (2021) showed the need for cyclone-resilient housing and early warning infrastructure in rural areas, and Zhou and Zhang (2021) reported severe tropical cyclones in Hong Kong, leading to long-term economic disruptions despite stable gross domestic product (GDP) growth, demonstrating the hidden costs of recovery.

In aggregate, evidence from across these hazard types shows that climate change increases hydrological extremes and reveals inadequacies in preparedness. Although predictive models and resilience frameworks have made progress, more needs to be done on multi-hazard mapping, early warning systems, and community-based adaptation. Strengthening interdisciplinary research and incorporating geospatial data with socio-economic data into planning processes will be key to minimising losses and supporting long-term resilience in vulnerable areas.

AGRICULTURAL AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACTS

Climate change has profound impacts on agriculture and fisheries, threatening food security and rural livelihoods through changing rainfall patterns, rising temperatures, and more frequent extreme events. Crop systems are especially sensitive to changes in precipitation and temperature, which influence planting time, pest incidence, and soil-water balance. Sitko, Scognamiglio and Malevolti (2021) studied smallholder adaptation in Ethiopia and Malawi and found that food aid programmes helped poor households to adopt expensive climate adaptation practices (CAPs) such as conservation tillage and improved water management. These measures increased resilience against

drought but also indicated the financial barriers to widespread adoption. Similarly, Ruppel (2022) noted that global trade policies under the World Trade Organization indirectly impact sustainable agriculture by regulating soil conservation and biodiversity conservation through market incentives.

Model-based analyses are also used to illustrate the complexity of interactions between climate and agriculture. Kang *et al.* (2022) used the soil and water assessment tool (SWAT) model with representative concentration pathway (RCP) 2.6, RCP 4.5, and RCP 8.5 scenarios to simulate the yield of crops at the watershed level. The results showed a consistent decrease in potato and barley yields across all scenarios, with a severe yield reduction under RCP 8.5 due to heat-stress-induced soil moisture losses. In the study by Aurbacher *et al.* (2013), for Europe's cereal systems, the FARMACTOR and EXPERT-N models were used to investigate shifts in sowing and harvesting seasons, increased yield fluctuations, and a long-term decrease in output without technological innovation. Derbile, Bonye and Yiridomoh (2022) identified drought as the most devastating hazard of staple crops like maize and rice in West Africa, and recommended the deployment of climate smart agriculture (CSA) techniques, including intercropping, drought-tolerant varieties, and supplementary irrigation to maintain production. In Italy, Farina *et al.* (2011) showed, using the environmental policy integrated climate (EPIC) model, that no-till practices result in less soil erosion and greater carbon sequestration. However, yield improvements depend on crop rotation patterns. Together, these studies reinforce the importance of CSA and better land-use modelling for adapting cropping systems to future climate conditions.

The aquatic and fisheries sectors are also at great risk (Daly *et al.*, 2021), as demonstrated by the analysis of Canada's 2021 maritime strategy, which highlighted that integrating climate research and community monitoring strengthened adaptive capacity among coastal fisheries. In South America, Peluso *et al.* (2022) predicted an 85% reduction in native fish species in the Upper Paraguay River Basin due to the cumulative effects of warming and dam construction, which disrupt migratory habitats. From the study presented (Robinson *et al.*, 2022), the authors reported that coral-reef fisheries continue to be important for the micronutrient supply and are highly susceptible to bleaching events. Gianelli *et al.* (2021) documented that toxic algal blooms severely impacted small-scale clam fisheries in the South Atlantic in terms of revenue. However, short-term adaptive responses, such as diversification and early-warning systems, helped offset the impact. Similarly, Song *et al.* (2022) emphasised that genetic improvement and diversification of aquaculture species can enhance protein supply and economic resilience, and offer pathways for sustainable growth in the face of climate stress.

The socio-economic implications of such agricultural and aquatic disruptions are significant. Changing yields and diminishing water availability make incomes increasingly precarious and make agricultural populations in agrarian economies more vulnerable to poverty. Ausseil *et al.* (2019) modelled global climate-economic interactions and showed that regional adaptation policies may buffer productivity losses while retaining ecosystem services. Reidsma *et al.* (2015) confirmed, through bio-economic simulations in the Netherlands, that an integrated approach combining farm and landscape levels of adaptation is superior in terms of economic and environmental outcomes

compared with isolated interventions. Moreover, Hălbac-Coțoară-Zamfir, Keesstra and Kalantari (2019) demonstrated the role of nature-based solutions in Romania in countering land degradation and enhancing socio-economic resilience, demonstrating the capacity of green infrastructure to deliver ecological and financial co-benefits.

Overall, the body of evidence suggests that climate change impacts across agriculture and fisheries have direct socio-economic destabilising effects through food insecurity, job losses, and supply chain disruptions. Integrating CAPs, CSA, and sustainable aquaculture practices, along with targeted financial support and regional adaptation frameworks, is critical to developing climate-resilient food systems. Future policies should emphasise cross-sectoral approaches linking agriculture, water management, and trade to ensure equitable and sustainable livelihoods under changing climate conditions.

WATER GOVERNANCE AND SUSTAINABILITY STRATEGIES – EQUITY AND INCLUSIVE APPROACHES IN WATER ALLOCATION

Water sharing is an essential component of sustainable water management and enables fair access for all users, as shown in Table 1. Valipour *et al.* (2024) state that equity of water-resource allocation must be multi-dimensional, incorporating economic, environmental, and social aspects. Turley (2023) examined urban-rural water allocation and suggested that urban utilities tend to capture water at the expense of rural users, highlighting the need to assess the equity implications of reservoir reoperation. Wegerich (2007) noted the complexity of achieving equity in transboundary basins like the Amu Darya, where equity is difficult to define and implement. Williams *et al.* (2023) highlighted the critical importance of diversity and inclusion within governance structures to ensure fair representation. Furthermore, Li (2023) demonstrated that intelligent algorithms

Table 1. Summary of key studies on equity, inclusive governance, and technological solutions for fair water allocation

Focus	Key insights	Reference
Equity and justice in water allocation	advocates for a balance of economic, environmental, and social dimensions in water governance	Valipour <i>et al.</i> (2024)
Urban vs rural water allocation	examines the impact of water reallocations on rural users due to urban demands	Turley (2023)
Transboundary water allocation	highlights challenges in achieving equitable water sharing across international borders	Wegerich (2007)
Inclusive water governance	stresses the importance of diversity, equity, and inclusion in water resource management	Williams <i>et al.</i> (2023)
Intelligent algorithms for water distribution	demonstrates how technology can optimise water allocation, balancing equity with environmental goals	Li (2023)

Source: own elaboration based on literature.

can be used for water distribution optimisation, which is beneficial for protecting ecosystems and maintaining social stability.

CONCLUSIONS

The review provides an extensive analysis of the mechanisms by which climate change affects global water systems, covering hydrological, chemical, biological, and socio-geological dimensions. The findings highlight the interrelatedness of water scarcity, water pollution, and governance, all of which are intensified by changing climatic conditions. A good working knowledge of these dynamics is critical to formulating adaptive, equitable, and sustainable management strategies. The main conclusions are detailed below.

1. Anthropogenic climate change alters precipitation, evapotranspiration, and snowmelt regimes, thus leading to reductions in river discharge and decreases in groundwater recharge and increasing water stress in different climatic zones.
2. Elevated temperatures linked to increases in precipitation events result in increased nutrient loading, additional microbial contamination, and heavy metal accumulation, which can increase aquatic ecosystem risks and public health implications.
3. Floods, droughts, wildfires, and cyclones have become more frequent and severe. They are causing socio-economic losses, underscoring the urgent need for early warning systems and integrated disaster risk management.
4. Changing rainfall and temperature regimes compromise the yields of crops, fisheries productivity, and rural income stability, while equitable water distribution and nature-based solutions increase resilience.
5. Future studies are recommended to focus on combining advanced hydrological models with socio-economic datasets, the use of artificial intelligence-based predictive tools, and enhancing transboundary cooperation for achieving adaptive, inclusive, and sustainable water governance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research outcomes of the manuscript were supported by the Warsaw University of Life Sciences and Visva Bharati University, Shantiniketan, West Bengal, India. We would also like to extend our thanks to the authors from Visva Bharati University for providing the necessary technical support.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

All authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Aurbacher, J. *et al.* (2013) "Influence of climate change on short term management of field crops – A modelling approach," *Agricultural Systems*, 119, pp. 44–57. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2013.04.005>.

- Ausseil, A.G.E. et al. (2019) "Towards an integrated assessment of climate and socio-economic change impacts and implications in New Zealand," *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 119, pp. 1–20. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2019.05.009>.
- Beniston, M. and Stoffel, M. (2014) "Assessing the impacts of climatic change on mountain water resources," *Science of the Total Environment*, 493, pp. 1129–1137. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.122>.
- Cacciotti, R. et al. (2021) "Climate change-induced disasters and cultural heritage: Optimizing management strategies in Central Europe," *Climate Risk Management*, 32, 100301. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2021.100301>.
- Chakraborti, L. and Shimshack, J.P. (2022) "Environmental disparities in urban Mexico: Evidence from toxic water pollution," *Resource and Energy Economics*, 67, 101281. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reseneeco.2021.101281>.
- Chowdhary, P. et al. (2020) "Role of industries in water scarcity and its adverse effects on environment and human health," in V. Shukla and N. Kumar (eds.) *Environmental Concerns and Sustainable Development*. Singapore: Springer Singapore, pp. 235–256. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-5889-0_12.
- Daly, J. et al. (2021) "Changing climates in a blue economy: Assessing the climate-responsiveness of Canadian fisheries and oceans policy," *Marine Policy*, 131, 104623. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2021.104623>.
- Dawadi, S. and Ahmad, S. (2013) "Evaluating the impact of demand-side management on water resources under changing climatic conditions and increasing population," *Journal of Environmental Management*, 114, pp. 261–275. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.10.015>.
- Derbile, E.K., Bonye, S.Z. and Yiridomoh, G.Y. (2022) "Mapping vulnerability of smallholder agriculture in Africa: Vulnerability assessment of food crop farming and climate change adaptation in Ghana," *Environmental Challenges*, 8, 100537. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2022.100537>.
- El Assaoui, N., Sadok, A. and Merimi, I. (2021) "Impacts of climate change on Moroccan's groundwater resources: State of art and development prospects," *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 45, pp. 7690–7696. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.03.220>.
- El-Mahdy, M.E.S. (2022) "A unified index of water resources systems vulnerability assessment – Translating the theoretical approach into a simple tool to assess climate change impact: Case study in Limpopo River Basin, Africa," *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 13 (5), 101687. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asej.2021.101687>.
- Elsaidy, A. et al. (2025) "Groundwater drought and anthropogenic amplifiers: A review of assessment and response strategies in arid and semi-arid areas," *Science of The Total Environment*, 978, 179406. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2025.179406>.
- Fang, T. et al. (2022) "Insights into effects of algae on decay and distribution of bacterial pathogens in recreational water: Implications for microbial risk management," *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 113, pp. 92–103. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2021.05.037>.
- Farina, R. et al. (2011) "Soil carbon dynamics and crop productivity as influenced by climate change in a rainfed cereal system under contrasting tillage using EPIC," *Soil and Tillage Research*, 112(1), pp. 36–46. Available at: <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.still.2010.11.002>.
- Feng, D. et al. (2018) "An integrated hydrological modeling approach for detection and attribution of climatic and human impacts on coastal water resources," *Journal of Hydrology*, 557, pp. 305–320. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.12.041>.
- Ficklin, D.L. et al. (2022) "Hydrological intensification will increase the complexity of water resource management," *Earth's Future*, 10(3), e2021EF002487. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002487>.
- Fowler, K. et al. (2022) "Hydrological shifts threaten water resources," *Water Resources Research*, 58(8), e2021WR031210. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR031210>.
- Gianelli, I. et al. (2021) "Harnessing scientific and local knowledge to face climate change in small-scale fisheries," *Global Environmental Change*, 68, 102253. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102253>.
- Gohari, A. et al. (2025) "Integrating water accounting and system thinking: A novel framework for human-water nexus management in water-scarce regions," *Energy Nexus*, 20, 100553. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nexus.2025.100553>.
- Hälbac-Cotoară-Zamfir, R., Keesstra, S. and Kalantari, Z. (2019) "The impact of political, socio-economic and cultural factors on implementing environment friendly techniques for sustainable land management and climate change mitigation in Romania," *Science of The Total Environment*, 654, pp. 418–429. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.160>.
- Hein, T. et al. (2021) "The coupled socio-ecohydrological evolution of river systems: Towards an integrative perspective of river systems in the 21st century," *Science of The Total Environment*. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149619>.
- Hochrainer-Stigler, S. et al. (2020) "Standardized disaster and climate resilience grading: A global scale empirical analysis of community flood resilience," *Journal of Environmental Management*, 276, 111332. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111332>.
- Hossain, B., Sohel, Md.S. and Ryakitimbo, C.M. (2020) "Climate change induced extreme flood disaster in Bangladesh: Implications on people's livelihoods in the Char Village and their coping mechanisms," *Progress in Disaster Science*, 6, 100079. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2020.100079>.
- Ismanto, A. et al. (2023) "Groundwater contamination status in Malaysia: level of heavy metal, source, health impact, and remediation technologies," *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering*, 46(3), pp. 467–482. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00449-022-02826-5>.
- Janizadeh, S. et al. (2021) "Mapping the spatial and temporal variability of flood hazard affected by climate and land-use changes in the future," *Journal of Environmental Management*, 298, 113551. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113551>.
- Jin, Y. et al. (2022) "A novel technology using iron in a coupled process of moderate preoxidation–hybrid coagulation to remove cyanobacteria in drinking water treatment plants," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 342, 130947. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.130947>.
- Kang, X. et al. (2022) "A watershed-scale assessment of climate change impacts on crop yields in Atlantic Canada," *Agricultural Water Management*, 269, 107680. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2022.107680>.
- Khoi, D.N. et al. (2022) "Impact of future climate change on river discharge and groundwater recharge: A case study of Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam," *Journal of Water and Climate Change*, 13(3), pp. 1313–1325. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2166/wcc.2022.379>.

- Li, G. (2023) "Expression of concern: Sustainable ecosystem management based on optimal water allocation and equity measures," *Water Supply*, 23(11), pp. 4479–4488. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2023.276>.
- Li, S. *et al.* (2020) "Quantitative assessment of the relative impacts of climate change and human activity on flood susceptibility based on a cloud model," *Journal of Hydrology*, 588, 125051. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125051>.
- Lin, S.H. *et al.* (2010) "Developing a yearly warning index to assess the climatic impact on the water resources of Taiwan, a complex-terrain island," *Journal of Hydrology*, 390(1–2), pp. 13–22. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.06.024>.
- Lu, T. *et al.* (2021) "Pollutant toxicology with respect to microalgae and cyanobacteria," *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 99, pp. 175–186. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2020.06.033>.
- Mahabeer, P. and Tekere, M. (2021) "Anthropogenic pollution influences on the physical and chemical quality of water and sediments of the Umdloti river system, Kwazulu-Natal," *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 123, 103030. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2021.103030>.
- Mansoor, S. *et al.* (2022) "Elevation in wildfire frequencies with respect to the climate change," *Journal of Environmental Management*, 301, 113769. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113769>.
- Mengistu, D. *et al.* (2021) "Climate change impacts on water resources in the Upper Blue Nile (Abay) River Basin, Ethiopia," *Journal of Hydrology*, 592, 125614. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125614>.
- Mishra, A., Alnahit, A. and Campbell, B. (2021) "Impact of land uses, drought, flood, wildfire, and cascading events on water quality and microbial communities: A review and analysis," *Journal of Hydrology*, 596, 125707. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125707>.
- Mohapatra, S., Harish, V.S.K.V. and Dwivedi, G. (2021) "Climate change, cyclone and rural communities: Understanding people's perceptions and adaptations in rural eastern India," *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 49(2), pp. 412–417. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.02.384>.
- Nepal, S., Tripathi, S. and Adhikari, H. (2021) "Geospatial approach to the risk assessment of climate-induced disasters (drought and erosion) and impacts on out-migration in Nepal," *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 59, 102241. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2021.102241>.
- Onohuean, H., Okoh, A.I. and Nwodo, U.U. (2022) "Antibiogram signatures of *Vibrio* species recovered from surface waters in South Western districts of Uganda: Implications for environmental pollution and infection control," *Science of the Total Environment*, 807, 150706. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150706>.
- Parente, J. *et al.* (2018) "Heat waves in Portugal: Current regime, changes in future climate and impacts on extreme wildfires," *Science of the Total Environment*, 631–632, pp. 534–549. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.044>.
- Peluso, L.M. *et al.* (2022) "Climate change negative effects on the neotropical fishery resources may be exacerbated by hydroelectric dams," *Science of The Total Environment*, 828, 154485. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154485>.
- Potapowicz, J. *et al.* (2022) "Sources and composition of chemical pollution in Maritime Antarctica (King George Island), part 1: Sediment and water analysis for PAH sources evaluation in the vicinity of Arctowski station," *Chemosphere*, 288(3), 132637. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132637>.
- Rathi, B.S., Kumar, P.S. and Vo, D.V.N. (2021) "Critical review on hazardous pollutants in water environment: Occurrence, monitoring, fate, removal technologies and risk assessment," *Science of the Total Environment*, 797, 149134. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149134>.
- Rehman, A. *et al.* (2021) "Towards environmental sustainability: Devolving the influence of carbon dioxide emission to population growth, climate change, forestry, livestock and crops production in Pakistan," *Ecological Indicators*, 125, 107460. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107460>.
- Reidsma, P. *et al.* (2015) "Sustainable agricultural development in a rural area in the Netherlands? Assessing impacts of climate and socio-economic change at farm and landscape level," *Agricultural Systems*, 141, pp. 160–173. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2015.10.009>.
- Ren, M. *et al.* (2021) "Difference in temporal and spatial distribution pattern of cyanobacteria between the sediment and water column in Lake Chaohu," *Environmental Pollution*, 291, 118163. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118163>.
- Robinson, J.P.W. *et al.* (2022) "Climate-induced increases in micro-nutrient availability for coral reef fisheries," *One Earth*, 5(1), pp. 98–108. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.12.005>.
- Ruppel, O.C. (2022) "Soil protection and legal aspects of international trade in agriculture in times of climate change: The WTO dimension," *Soil Security*, 6, 100038. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soisec.2022.100038>.
- Saingam, P., Li, B. and Yan, T. (2020) "Fecal indicator bacteria, direct pathogen detection, and microbial community analysis provide different microbiological water quality assessment of a tropical urban marine estuary," *Water Research*, 185, 116280. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116280>.
- Silva da, L.B.L., Alencar, M.H. and Almeida de, A.T. (2022) "A novel spatiotemporal multi-attribute method for assessing flood risks in urban spaces under climate change and demographic scenarios," *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 76, 103501. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2021.103501>.
- Sitko, N.J., Scognamiglio, A. and Malevolti, G. (2021) "Does receiving food aid influence the adoption of climate-adaptive agricultural practices? Evidence from Ethiopia and Malawi," *Food Policy*, 102, 102041. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2021.102041>.
- Song, G. *et al.* (2022) "Scenario analysis on optimal farmed-fish-species composition in China: A theoretical methodology to benefit wild-fishery stock, water conservation, economic and protein outputs under the context of climate change," *Science of The Total Environment*, 806(2), 150600. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150600>.
- Tang, A.M. *et al.* (2018) "Atmosphere-vegetation-soil interactions in a climate change context; Impact of changing conditions on engineered transport infrastructure slopes in Europe," *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 51(2), pp. 156–168. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1144/qjgegh.2017-103>.
- Tang, W. *et al.* (2022) "Twenty years of China's water pollution control: Experiences and challenges," *Chemosphere*, 295, 133875. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.133875>.
- Turley, L. (2023) "Securing urban water supply through reservoir reoperation – An analysis of power resources and equity in cases from India, Spain and the USA," *PLOS Water*, 2(8), e0000097. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pwat.0000097>.
- Ulibarri, N. and Scott, T.A. (2019) "Environmental hazards, rigid institutions, and transformative change: How drought affects the consideration of water and climate impacts in infrastructure

- management,” *Global Environmental Change*, 59, 102005. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2019.102005>.
- Valipour, E. et al. (2024) “Water resources allocation: Interactions between equity/justice and allocation strategies,” *Water Resources Management*, 38(2), pp. 505–535. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-023-03682-y>.
- Wang, J. et al. (2021) “Towards a systematic method for assessing the impact of chemical pollution on ecosystem services of water systems,” *Journal of Environmental Management*, 281, 111873. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111873>.
- Wegerich, K. (2007) “A critical review of the concept of equity to support water allocation at various scales in the Amu Darya basin,” *Irrigation and Drainage Systems*, 21(3–4), pp. 185–195. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10795-007-9035-1>.
- Wilk-Woźniak, E., Krztoń, W. and Górnik, M. (2021) “Synergistic impact of socio-economic and climatic changes on the ecosystem of a deep dam reservoir: Case study of the Dobczyce dam reservoir based on a 30-year monitoring study,” *Science of the Total Environment*, 756, 144055. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144055>.
- Williams, S.A. et al. (2023) “Diversity, equity, inclusion, and justice in water dialogues: A review and conceptualization,” *Journal of Contemporary Water Research & Education*, 177(1), pp. 113–139. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1936-704X.2022.3386.x>.
- Yang, D., Yang, Y. and Xia, J. (2021) “Hydrological cycle and water resources in a changing world: A review,” *Geography and Sustainability*, 2(2), pp. 115–122. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geosus.2021.05.003>.
- Zhang, L. et al. (2021) “Impacts of climate change on drought risk of winter wheat in the North China Plain,” *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 20(10), pp. 2601–2612. Available at: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(20\)63273-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(20)63273-7).
- Zhou, Z. and Zhang, L. (2021) “Destructive destruction or creative destruction? Unraveling the effects of tropical cyclones on economic growth,” *Economic Analysis and Policy*, 70, pp. 380–393. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eap.2021.03.010>.