

OPTICAL MEASUREMENTS OF GEOMETRICAL ACCURACY OF GEARS

**Agata Świerek¹⁾, Paweł Nowakowski¹⁾, Wiktor Pietrasiak²⁾, Piotr Góral³⁾,
Lidia Marciniak-Podsadna⁴⁾, Michał Wieczorowski⁴⁾**

1) *Institute of Mechanical Engineering, University of Kalisz, 62-800 Kalisz, Poland*
(✉ a.swierek@uniwersytetkaliszski.edu.pl)

2) *Electronics Technician Profile, Józef Sieradzan Technical and Electronics School Complex in Kalisz, Poland*

3) *Division of Electronic Systems and Signal Processing, Institute of Automatic Control and Robotics,
Poznan University of Technology*

4) *Division of Metrology and Measurement Systems, Institute of Mechanical Technology, Poznan University of Technology,
60-965 Poznan, Poland*

Abstract

The article presents the results of comparative tests of gear wheels based on non-contact and contact measurement methods. Comparative tests were performed on selected cylindrical gear wheels with straight teeth which are spare parts for transmission mechanisms. The paper compares important geometric parameters of gear wheels, such as the total deviation of the tooth line, the single and total pitch deviation, and the radial run-out deviation of the teeth. An optical measurement method was applied using the general tools available in the GOM ATOS II coordinate scanner software. The measurement results obtained using the non-contact method were compared with the results of the contact method using a Wenzel WGT 600 specialised machine for measuring gear wheels. Based on the analysis conducted, the comparability of the measurement results of gear wheels performed in Accuracy Class 10 according to the DIN 3961/62 standard was demonstrated for the considered measurement methods, while at the same time indicating significant differences in the geometric parameters obtained between the parts manufactured under the manufacturer's licence and commercial substitutes.

Keywords: 3D Vision, gear metrology, 3D scanner, measuring machine, geometric accuracy.

1. Introduction

The precision of gear wheel manufacturing in the manufacturing industry is mainly based on the assessment of their geometric parameters. When designing mechanical gears, the period of time is estimated during which the working gear should meet the requirements to a greater or equal degree than assumed. In the practice of servicing technological machines, repairs are commonly performed using spare parts. In situations where original spare parts are not available from the machine manufacturer, the solution is to use spare parts available in the offers of other manufacturers. In many situations, *e.g.* liquidation of the manufacturing plant, commercial spare

parts may be the only alternative for further operation of economically efficient machines. It is important when using them to verify the quality of workmanship by performing appropriate measurements. In the quality control of gear wheels, in addition to strength tests, the geometry of the toothing is of great importance [1]. Geometric precision directly affects dynamic deviations which cause a lack of smooth operation during the operation of gear wheels [2].

Using classical contact methods of measuring the course of tooth shape in metrological laboratories, the tests were carried out in claw holders using a dial gauge with a specified accuracy or based on involute meters, *e.g.* Carl-Zeiss Jena VG 450. The actual registration of the shape of the tooth line was performed by moving the wheel, thus maintaining the parallelism of the movement. This allowed for determining the measured parameters and quality control. Similarly, the measurement of the deviations of the radial run-out of the teeth was performed in relation to the axis of rotation of the wheel. The difference between the largest and smallest deviations of a specially selected measuring element, *e.g.* a shaft of a specified diameter inserted into the groove, was indicated by a dial gauge.

The development of industry has resulted in the replacement of classical measurement methods with automated coordinate measurements [3]. The contact measurement method essentially consists in measuring the coordinates of points which are subject to computer analysis to determine the values of deviations of individual parameters. Industrial quality control uses specialist machines for measuring gear deviation parameters, such as Klingelnberg PNC 35, Wenzel WGT 600 or coordinate measuring machines with specially developed modules, such as GearPro involute by ZEISS. Currently, a number of works are being carried out on the development and improvement of non-contact gear measurements based on optical technologies and sensors [4]. Coordinate measuring machines such as Leitz Infinity are being built which have the ability to use both contact and optical heads, for example, using a chromatic confocal sensor. Thanks to the possibility of combining data from both heads, the application area of such a machine is significantly expanded.

Apart from coordinate measuring machines, at present there is even more thought about scanning geometry and assessing parameters based on the obtained digital data than about measuring specific deviations. The development of 3D optical metrology systems has revolutionised the control of gear wheels, providing very precise measurements and enabling the assessment of complex geometries [5, 6]. The advantage of various optical techniques is the ability to collect a large number of measurement points in a short time, and thus a multi-million point cloud representing the measured object can be obtained [7, 8]. Advanced scanning techniques enable the acquisition of detailed surface data. Geometric scanning therefore provides comprehensive data on the geometry of gear elements, which is crucial for predicting application properties such as noise behaviour, wear, and fatigue. This information helps to identify and correct deviations in an early stage of the manufacturing process, both for cylindrical and bevel gears [9].

Macro-scale scanning of gear surfaces includes various advanced techniques that provide precise measurements and quality control. Among these techniques, optical scanning is being increasingly used. Optical scanning methods provide fast data acquisition, significantly reducing the time required for gear inspection. They allow for the evaluation of the entire gear geometry, including complex surfaces, which is not possible in the case of traditional random spot inspections [10]. They are widely used due to their high efficiency and ability to collect relatively detailed geometric data [11]. They consist in collecting point clouds from measured surfaces and matching them to design models to reconstruct real surfaces or calculate geometric parameters [12]. In this way, they provide a comprehensive 3D measurement of gears, recording the entire geometry, not specific points [13], although it is also well suited for analysis of surface defects in specific locations [14].

Optical scanning can be performed using different versions of lighting: structured light [15] or laser [16]. Modern scanning techniques, such as laser triangulation, offer high-resolution measurements with minimal deviations, enabling precise detection of surface defects and ensuring the appropriate quality of gear production. Holistically viewed, non-contact measurement methods also provide a fast and relatively accurate assessment of gear wear, without the need to dismantle it. Optical scanning is also used to create digital models of physical objects as an invaluable support in reverse engineering and quality assurance [17]. It is also used more broadly to reconstruct three-dimensional models of gear surfaces through comprehensive registration of wear and damage morphology where it can be supported by various observation techniques [18], including machine vision [19] and image analysis systems [20]. By providing data on gear wear, geometric scanning supports predictive maintenance strategies, helping to plan maintenance activities before destructive wear occurs, thus extending the service life of gear components. Advanced scanning systems use automated path planning techniques, optimizing the measurement process and reducing the need for manual intervention [21]. Scanning systems (both optical and contact) can also be integrated with CNC machines, allowing on-machine measurements and real-time corrections during the production process [22]. This integration improves the overall efficiency of production and reduces the probability of errors [23].

Developing the topic of optical gear measurement techniques, in [24] a non-contact method was proposed to measure the radial run-out of the gear tooth profile, using a single laser displacement sensor. In [25], the authors established a mathematical model of the angle of incidence in order to explain the effect of the angle of incidence of the measuring light, which is verified by simulation analysis. In [26], a method was proposed to measure the shape of the tooth, which uses incoherent structured light and allows for measurement accuracy in the range of $\pm 2.2 \mu\text{m}$. The presented works clearly indicate the development of optical methods in gear metrology. In measurement applications in the area of geometrical metrology, computed tomography is also increasingly used [27], although the material and the possibility of X-raying it at a relatively low power, allowing for high resolution [28], play an important role here. However, it can be stated that optical methods have been accepted in industrial reality and are particularly useful in the case of complex geometries and reverse engineering tasks. However, the challenges, especially related to measurement uncertainty, make it necessary to verify them in relation to the results obtained with a much slower but more accurate contact coordinate measuring technique on coordinate measuring machines [29]. This is particularly visible in the more accurate classes, while for the less accurate ones, the comparisons show satisfactory agreement.

Information techniques also play an increasingly important role in the measurements of geometrical quantities discussed, with particular emphasis on augmented reality [30] and artificial intelligence [31].

Taking into account the above analysis, this paper presents measurements of the parameters of gear teeth of a mechanical transmission which were carried out for toothed objects manufactured under the manufacturer's license and commercially available substitutes. The comparative measurements carried out for selected parameters of gear wheels using the contact method using a Wenzel WGT 600 specialised machine and the non-contact method using a GOM ATOS II coordinate scanner constitute the next stage of research on the possibilities of using optics in gear wheel metrology. After conducting comparative studies of the deviation parameter of the tooth profile using optics [32], measurements of the subsequent standardised parameters of tooth geometry deviations related to the tooth line, tooth pitches and radial run-out were made. Measurements carried out using an optical 3D scanner yielded measurement results comparable to the contact method in the range of measured tolerances for a gear wheel manufactured in Accuracy Class 10.

2. Material and measurement method

Figure 1 shows a model of a double-rimmed gear wheel selected for measurements. The gear wheel is made of chrome-nickel steel for carburizing 15 HGN. The type of gear wheel selected for the measurements is part of a gearbox with a module of 4, which allows for optical measurement of the teeth using structured light. The gear wheel consists of two rims, which create 25 teeth, respectively – Rim 1 and 30 teeth – Rim 2. In the comparative studies, apart from the methods used, possible differences in the quality of the gear wheel production were also taken into account by obtaining elements for measurement from different manufacturers, whose sales offers for the selected gear wheels – spare parts differed significantly. Wheel Gear 1 is a part manufactured under the license of the gearbox manufacturer. Wheel Gear 2 is a commercial replacement.




Fig. 1. 3D model of a gear wheel made in Catia.

The measurement laboratories ensured standardised measurement conditions in accordance with PN-EN ISO/IEC 17025:2018-02. The automated specialised machine WENZEL WGT 600 ($MPE_p = 1,8+L/400$ for classical coordinate measurements) was selected to perform contact measurements. During the measurement, the measuring tip collects the coordinates of the points from the tested surface as a result of direct contact with this surface (Fig. 2). Then, this information is processed by a computer, as a result of which we obtain the values of deviations of tooth parameters such as deviations of the tooth profile and line, deviations of pitches, and run-out. In the measurement protocols, the deviation values obtained are presented numerically and on graphs for all measured teeth.




Fig. 2. Contact method for measuring a gear wheel on a specialised machine.

Non-contact measurements of gears involve the assessment of the geometry and quality of the teeth without the need for physical contact with the measured element. They are used to shorten the measurement time and avoid the influence of mechanical forces on the final results. Current technologies enable the use of non-contact methods such as laser scanning [33], computed tomography [34], and industrial photogrammetry [35]. The GOM ATOS II coordinate optical scanner („GOM Acceptance Test” according to VDI/VDE 2634 Part 3, the manufacturer’s declared accuracy is less than 0.02 mm) selected for measurements uses structured light emitted by a projector that falls on the object. The projected structure falling on the surface of the object is deformed. Cameras observe the deformation of the image on the measured surface, and on this basis, the coordinates of points on the surface of the element are determined, which are combined into a 3D image of the measured element (Fig. 3) [36].




Fig. 3. Non-contact measurement method using a structured light scanner [36].

For the selected measurement methods, the measurement uncertainties were estimated according to formula (1), which takes into account U_C the combined measurement uncertainty of both U the standard deviation of the data multiplied by the coverage factor (expanded uncertainty formula (2)) and U_m the machine accuracy (systematic component of the combined uncertainty formula (3)) and which can be expressed as the root of the sum of the squares of these two components [37].

$$U_C = \sqrt{U^2 + U_m^2}, \quad (1)$$

$$U = k \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2}, \quad (2)$$

where: $u = \sqrt{\frac{1}{(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$ is the standard uncertainty resulting from data dispersion, that is the standard deviation of the data from the distribution of measured values, x_i – single measurement value, \bar{x} – arithmetic mean of all measurements, N – number of all measurements, $\sum_{i=1}^N$ – sum of all measurements from 1 to N measurements, k – coverage factor. U_m – is the uncertainty, resulting from the accuracy of the machine or measuring instrument

$$U_m = \frac{a}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad (3)$$

where: a – error value specified by the manufacturer based on the specifications of the measuring device.

3. Measurement of geometric parameters of gears using optics

The basic parameters of the straight-toothed cylindrical gear are shown in Fig. 4.




Fig. 4. Interpretation of gear wheel parameters [38].

The algorithm developed for the evaluation of geometrical parameters of a gear is presented in the block diagram (Fig. 5).




Fig. 5. Block diagram of the procedure for determining gear parameters from 3D scanning.

3.1. Total deviation of the tooth line F_β

The total tooth line deviation F_β is interpreted as the distance between two nominal tooth lines that tangentially encompass the tooth flank within its evaluation range (Fig. 6).




Fig. 6. Graphic interpretation of the total deviation of the F_β line [20] AA, A''A'' – nominal tooth lines surrounding the real side, F_β – deviation of the tooth line position, L_β – range of the tooth line assessment, b – width of the toothing.

Figure 7 shows a view of the gear wheel measurement analysis in the GOM Inspect program.




Fig. 7. View of the F_β tooth line in the GOM Inspect program.

In order to determine the total deviation of the tooth line F_β , an image of the object (gear wheel) was analysed in which the tooth line intended for measurement was isolated and the measuring section of the actual line was determined, which is taken into account when assessing the parameters (Fig. 8). Then, a nominal line was determined in the plane of the isolated tooth line to obtain auxiliary data to calculate the total result, which is the value (F_β).




Fig. 8. Real model tooth line for measurements.

3.2. Deviations of the circumferential pitch

The circumferential pitch is the distance between the teeth measured on the pitch circle diameter. The basic pitch parameters are the single pitch deviation f_p and the total pitch F_p . In order to determine the single pitch deviations, measurement points were determined on the tooth profile. For this purpose, local cross-sections of the profiles were determined at the middle of the tooth width and a coaxial cylinder with the pitch diameter of the gear wheel. Additional geometric elements created points at the intersection of their edges with the measured gear wheel, the appropriate distances of which were measured (Fig. 9).




Fig. 9. Measurement of the chord of the pitch circle of a gear – GOM Inspect.

The measured values of P (the chord of the pitch circle) after insertion into formula (4) allow the measurement result of the circumferential pitch P_t (the length of the arc defined by the chord) between successive teeth (Fig. 10). The single pitch f_p was determined by subtracting the nominal pitch value P_n from the measured value of the circumferential pitch P_t , according to formula (5):

$$P_t = \frac{4 \cdot \pi \cdot \arcsin\left(\frac{P}{2r}\right)}{360}, \quad (4)$$

$$f_p = P_t - P_n. \quad (5)$$




Fig. 10. Graphical interpretation of dependencies.

From the obtained values of deviations of subsequent pitches, we can generate a graph of single and total pitch deviations (Fig. 11), from which it is possible to read the total pitch value expressed in μm by adding the maximum absolute values obtained from summing the single pitch deviations.




Fig. 11. Total pitch deviation graph.

3.3. Radial run-out deviation F_r

The measurement of the tooth run-out consists in programmatically inserting a cylinder of a specified diameter into the notch between the teeth, tangent to the sides of the tooth. Then we measure the distances from the axis of the cylinder inserted to the designated wheel axis (Fig. 12). The difference between the values of the largest and smallest distances gives the total deviation of the radial run-out F_r .




Fig. 12. Distance measurement to determine radial run-out.

4. Measurement results and comparative analysis

The measurements were carried out on double gear wheels made in Accuracy Class 10 according to the DIN 3961/62 standard.

Tables 1–12 present the measurement results of the F_β parameter – the total tooth line, developed by the non-contact measurement method and, for comparison purposes, by the contact method. In accordance with the industrial practice used in quality control departments, the assessment was carried out for 3 teeth, which are evenly distributed over the entire gear wheel. Permissible total deviation of the tooth line $F_{\beta\text{MAX}} = 45 \mu\text{m}$.

Tables 13 and 14 compare the measurement results of the parameters f_p – single pitch and F_p – total pitch using the developed non-contact measurement method and, for comparison purposes, using the contact method. The results for the single pitch are presented taking into account the maximum values for a given wheel and a given rim (for example 1/1 – Gear Wheel 1, Rim 1). Permissible deviations of the single pitch $f_{p\text{max}} = 40 \mu\text{m}$ and total $F_{p\text{max}} = 125 \mu\text{m}$.

Table 1. Measurement results of total tooth line deviation F_{β} for Gear Wheel 1 – Rim 1.

Tooth Number	Wenzel WGT 600		GOM ATOS II	
	Right side	Left Side	Right Side	Left Side
	μm		μm	
1	20,4	7,3	25,1	18,9
8	9,8	9,3	19,3	19,9
16	11,8	7,8	17,3	12,4

Table 2. Calculation results for standard deviation and measurement uncertainty of total tooth line deviation F_{β} for Gear Wheel 1 – Rim 1 (Wenzel WGT 600).

Tooth Number	Wenzel WGT 600			
	u	U_C	u	U_C
	Right Side		Left Side	
μm		μm		
1	0,117	1,804	0,215	1,813]
8	0,158	1,807	0,192	1,810
16	0,112	1,803	0,238	1,816

Table 3. Calculation results for standard deviation and measurement uncertainty of total tooth line deviation F_{β} for Gear Wheel 1 – Rim 1 (GOM ATOS II).

Tooth Number	GOM ATOS II			
	u	U_C	u	U_C
	Right Side		Left Side	
μm		μm		
1	0,256	15,002	0,206	15,001
8	0,206	15,001	0,286	15,003
16	0,383	15,005	0,421	15,006

Table 4. Measurement results of total tooth line deviation F_{β} for Gear Wheel 1 – Rim 2.

Tooth Number	Wenzel WGT 600		GOM ATOS II	
	Right Side	Left Side	Right Side	Left Side
	μm		μm	
1	36,5	22,9	41,2	22,1
10	35,1	2,9	36,5	17,4
20	13,3	24,4	22,7	33,9

Table 5. Calculation results for standard deviation and measurement uncertainty of total tooth line deviation F_{β} for Gear Wheel 1 – Rim 2 (Wenzel WGT 600).

Tooth Number	Wenzel WGT 600			
	u	U_C	u	U_C
	Right Side		Left Side	
μm		μm		
1	0,219	1,813	0,483	1,864
10	0,296	1,824	0,332	1,830
20	0,316	1,828	0,24	1,816

Table 6. Calculation results for standard deviation and measurement uncertainty of total tooth line deviation F_{β} for Gear Wheel 1 – Rim 2 (GOM ATOS II).

Tooth Number	GOM ATOS II			
	u	U_C	u	U_C
	Right Side		Left Side	
	μm		μm	
1	0,376	15,005	0,372	15,005
10	0,229	15,002	0,229	15,002
20	0,374	15,005	0,259	15,002

Table 7. Measurement results for total tooth line deviation F_{β} for Gear Wheel 2 – Rim 1

Tooth Number	Wenzel WGT 600		GOM ATOS II	
	Right Side	Left Side	Right Side	Left Side
	μm		μm	
1	67,5	91,9	70,6	90,3
8	148,0	2,7	161,2	18,9
16	97,3	4,4	109,2	18,6

Table 8. Calculation results for standard deviation and measurement uncertainty of total tooth line deviation F_{β} for Gear Wheel 2 – Rim 1 (Wenzel WGT 600).

Tooth Number	Wenzel WGT 600			
	u	U_C	u	U_C
	Right Side		Left Side	
	μm		μm	
1	0,293	1,824	0,297	1,824
8	0,217	1,813	0,224	1,814
16	0,349	1,834	0,35	1,834

Table 9. Calculation results for standard deviation and measurement uncertainty of total tooth line deviation F_{β} for Gear Wheel 2 – Rim 1 (GOM ATOS II).

Tooth Number	GOM ATOS II			
	u	U_C	u	U_C
	Right Side		Left Side	
	μm		μm	
1	0,232	15,002	0,185	15,001
8	0,32	15,003	0,274	15,003
16	0,394	15,005	0,634	15,013

Table 10. Measurement results for total tooth line deviation F_{β} for Gear Wheel 2 – Rim 2.

Tooth Number	Wenzel WGT 600		GOM ATOS II	
	Right Side	Left Side	Right Side	Left Side
	μm		μm	
1	53,0	103,8	56,4	108,3
10	118,1	72,4	102,1	79,4
20	7,0	174,0	18,1	184,3

Table 11. Calculation results for standard deviation and measurement uncertainty of the total tooth line deviation F_{β} for Gear Wheel 2 – Rim 2 (Wenzel WGT 600).

Tooth Number	Wenzel WGT 600			
	u	U_C	u	U_C
	Right Side		Left Side	
	μm		μm	
1	0,232	1,815	0,206	1,812
10	0,364	1,836	0,377	1,839
20	0,367	1,837	0,238	1,816

Table 12. Calculation results for standard deviation and measurement uncertainty of the total deviation of the tooth line F_{β} for Gear Wheel 2 – Rim 2 (GOM ATOS II).

Tooth Number	GOM ATOS II			
	u	U_C	u	U_C
	Right Side		Left Side	
	μm		μm	
1	0,358	15,004	0,344	15,004
10	0,292	15,003	0,438	15,006
20	0,295	15,003	0,332	15,004

Table 13. Measurement results for single pitch deviation f_p .

Wheel Number/Rim Number	Wenzel WGT 600		GOM ATOS II	
	Right Side	Left Side	Right Side	Left Side
	μm		μm	
1/1	74,2	44,9	82,4	51,4
1/2	29,2	28,9	28,9	23,8
2/1	101,5	84,6	113,6	75,6
2/2	45,4	47,9	41,3	44,1

Table 14. Measurement results for total pitch deviation F_p .

Wheel Number/Rim Number	Wenzel WGT 600		GOM ATOS II	
	Right Side	Left Side	Right Side	Left Side
	μm		μm	
1/1	96,2	188,6	101,6	198,6
1/2	171,1	166,8	127,7	193,3
2/1	667,5	612,2	590,5	740,6
2/2	344,3	356,0	370,2	302,5

Table 15 presents the measurement results of the parameter F_r – radial run-out deviations, developed by the non-contact measurement method and for comparison purposes, by the contact method. The permissible radial run-out deviation of the teeth $F_{r \max} = 90 \mu\text{m}$.

While analysing the results of comparative tests of significant parameters of gear wheels related to the tooth line, tooth pitches, and radial run-out of the teeth, it is noted that the results of measurements of the tooth line and single pitch are comparable for the adopted methods: non-contact using the GOM ATOS II scanner and contact using the Wenzel WGT 600 machine. Differences in the result values of individual deviations are related to the accuracy of the measurement methods adopted.

Table 15. Measurement results for the tooth run-out deviation F_r .

Wheel Number/Rim Number	Wenzel WGT 600	GOM ATOS II
	μm	μm
1/1	192,3	181,4
1/2	147,2	113,7
2/1	636,8	657,2
2/2	319,8	302,4

The results of detailed measurements of the total tooth line for Gear Wheel 1 – manufactured under the manufacturer's license – did not show exceeding the permissible value of deviations of the measured teeth. Compliance was detected using both the contact and non-contact methods. For Gear Wheel 2 – which is a commercial replacement – there are significantly more inconsistencies, as shown in Tables 7 and 10. A similar analogy can be concluded by comparing the results of the single pitch deviations, total pitch deviations, and radial run-out. For the total pitch deviation parameter F_p , the result of which is influenced by the measurement of individual tooth pitches, the measurement results contain the sum of errors made when measuring a single pitch. For Gear Wheel 1, the single pitch deviation was exceeded for individual teeth, which also caused the total pitch deviation to be exceeded. Errors of this type usually occur on teeth that are input or output for the machining tool. On the other hand, the deviation values for Gear Wheel 2 significantly different from the permissible tolerances may indicate that the gear wheel finishing was abandoned – Tables 13–15. The calculated standard deviation and measurement uncertainty are acceptable for the Accuracy Class 10 of gear wheel manufacturing – Tables 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 12.

5. Summary

The application of an optical 3D scanner in comparative investigations enabled the acquisition of significantly more detailed information about the examined gears compared to the traditional measurement method performed with a contact gear measuring machine. The use of optical technology made it possible not only to obtain a more comprehensive representation of the tooth surface geometry but, most importantly, to considerably reduce the measurement time, even by several times in relation to the contact method.

Exporting the results in the form of deviation maps, with color-coded dimensional discrepancies, allowed for a rapid visual assessment of the manufacturing quality of the tested components. Such a graphical representation of differences between the nominal and actual models provides an effective inspection tool within the production process and facilitates the identification of that require machining corrections.

To evaluate the applicability of the optical method, an algorithm for the geometric assessment of gears was developed in a manner analogous to the methodology used to determine gear parameters on a contact gear measuring machine. By comparing the results obtained from both instruments, the adequacy of the optical method was assessed to evaluate the geometrical accuracy of gears. Based on the obtained measurements of the fundamental geometrical deviations (such as total tooth line deviation, single pitch deviation, total cumulative pitch deviation, and radial runout deviation), the following observations were made:

- Gears manufactured under the original producer's license have higher dimensional accuracy and meet the requirements of **Accuracy Class 10** according to **DIN 3961/62**.

- Commercial replacement gears show a higher number of exceeded tolerance parameters, indicating lower machining precision.

In particular, the commercial replacement gear exceeded the permissible limits for Class 10 in terms of:

- total tooth line deviation,
- single and total pitch deviations, and
- radial runout deviation.

The observed deviations resulted primarily from inaccuracies in the machining process, such as improper tool alignment, cutter wear, fixturing errors, or insufficient temperature control during cutting. Such deviations can lead to deterioration in transmission performance manifested by increased noise, vibrations, and accelerated wear of the mating components. Therefore, the use of low-quality replacement gears in mechanical transmissions can cause the recurrence of failures after a short operating period, thus reducing the reliability of the entire drive system.

The analyses conducted showed that the calculated measurement uncertainties remain within acceptable limits for the measurement methods applied, confirming the reliability of the results obtained using the optical scanner. The high repeatability and accuracy of the optical technique indicate that this measurement approach can be effectively applied to the evaluation of gears with higher accuracy classes (*i.e.*, better than Class 10 according to DIN 3961/62), particularly when using modern scanners equipped with high-precision scanning heads.

References

- [1] Liu, P., Zhu, L., Gou, X., Shi, J., & Jin, G. (2021). Dynamics modeling and analyzing of spur gear pair with pitch deviation considering time-varying contact ratio under multi-state meshing. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 513, 116411. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2021.116411>
- [2] Tang, J., Wei, J., & Shi, Z. (2019). An evaluation method of gear profile deviations based on the consideration of installation errors. *Measurement*, 146, 806–814. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2019.06.045>
- [3] Wang, S., Zhou, Y., Tang, J., Tang, K., & Li, Z. (2021). Digital tooth contact analysis of face gear drives with an accurate measurement model of face gear tooth surface inspected by CMMs. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 167, 104498. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2021.104498>
- [4] Shang, Z., Wang, J., Du, H., & Yin, P. (2023). High-precision measurement of gear tooth profile using line spectral confocal method. *Measurement*, 223, 113779. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2023.113779>
- [5] Góral, P., Pawłowski, P., & Dąbrowski, A. (2022). Precise 3D vision based measurements of gear wheels. In *2022 Signal Processing: Algorithms, Architectures, Arrangements, and Applications (SPA)* (pp. 161–166). <https://doi.org/10.23919/spa53010.2022.9928022>
- [6] Haibing, Y., Yiyang, Y., Gen, T., Bingqing, G., & Weijie, L. (2025). Visual measurement methods for gear geometric parameters in automotive transmission. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 25(13), 24731–24747. <https://doi.org/10.1109/jsen.2025.3566276>
- [7] Guo, X., Shi, Z., Yu, B., Zhao, B., Li, K., & Sun, Y. (2019). 3D measurement of gears based on a line structured light sensor. *Precision Engineering*, 61, 160–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precisioneng.2019.10.013>
- [8] Shi, Z., & Sun, Y. (2024). An overview on line laser 3D measurement of gears. *Precision Engineering*, 88, 823–844. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precisioneng.2024.04.018>

- [9] Lin, C., Zeng, Q., Zhang, L., Li, S., & Gong, H. (2015). Three-dimensional optical measuring method for the tooth surface of high-order oval bevel gears. *Australian Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, 13(1), 9–21. <https://doi.org/10.7158/m13-041.2015.13.1>
- [10] Urbas, U., Zorko, D., Černe, B., Tavčar, J., & Vukašinović, N. (2020). A method for enhanced polymer spur gear inspection based on 3D optical metrology. *Measurement*, 169, 108584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2020.108584>
- [11] Lu, X., Zhao, X., Hu, B., Zhou, Y., Cao, Z., & Tang, J. (2022). A Measurement Solution of Face Gears with 3D Optical Scanning. *Materials*, 15(17), 6069. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15176069>
- [12] Gapiński, B., Wieczorowski, M., Marciniak-Podsadna, L., Swojak, N., Mendak, M., Kucharski, D., Szelewski, M., & Krawczyk, A. (2019). Use of white light and laser 3D scanners for measurement of mesoscale surface asperities. In *Lecture Notes in Mechanical Engineering* (pp. 239–256). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-18682-1_19
- [13] Budzik, G., Przeszlowski, Ł., Wieczorowski, M., Rzucidlo, A., Gapinski, B., & Krolczyk, G. (2018). Analysis of 3D printing parameters of gears for hybrid manufacturing. *AIP Conference Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5034997>
- [14] Wieczorkowski, M., Gapiński, B., & Swojak, N. (2019). The use of optical scanner for analysis of surface defects. In *30th DAAAM International Symposium on Intelligent Manufacturing and Automation* (pp. 0076–0085). <https://doi.org/10.2507/30th.daaam.proceedings.010>
- [15] Mašović, R., Čular, I., Vučković, K., Žeželj, D., Breški, T., Gear geometry inspection based on 3D optical scanning: Worm wheel case study, *2021 12th International Conference on Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering (ICMAE), Athens, Greece, 2021*, (pp. 262–268). <https://doi.org/10.1109/icmae52228.2021.9522366>
- [16] Auerswald, M. M., Von Freyberg, A., & Fischer, A. (2018). Laser line triangulation for fast 3D measurements on large gears. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 100(9–12), 2423–2433. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-018-2636-z>
- [17] Budzik, G., Markowska, O., Markowski, T., (2008). STL files parameters of the selective objects for rapid prototyping, *Annals of DAAAM and Proceedings of the International DAAAM Symposium*, 171–172. <https://www.thefreelibrary.com/STL%20files%20parameters%20of%20the%20selective%20objects%20for%20rapid%20prototyping.-a0225316055>
- [18] Yu, B., Li, Z., Sun, Y., & Shi, Z. (2024). A method combining laser and vision detection for on-line measurement of small modules gears. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 35(5), 055014. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6501/ad243b>
- [19] Yin, X. C., Zhao, F. C., Yin, Y. J., & Li, L. L. (2013). Research of Machine Vision Technology in the Wear Test of Gear. *Advanced Materials Research*, 718–720, 908–911. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amr.718-720.908>
- [20] Chang, H., Borghesani, P., Smith, W. A., & Peng, Z. (2019). Application of surface replication combined with image analysis to investigate wear evolution on gear teeth – A case study. *Wear*, 430–431, 355–368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2019.05.024>
- [21] Zhou, S., Mao, S., Tao, L., Zhou, J., & Xu, J. (2024). Full geometric information measuring model of 3D gear based on laser scanning techniques. In *SPIE 13083, SPIE Future Sensing Technologies* (Vol. 229, p. 45). <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.3019560>
- [22] Chajda, J., Gapinski, B., Matlinski, K., Staniek, R., Wieczorowski, M., Coordinate measuring machine application for machine tool correction, *19th IMEKO World Congress*, 3, 2009, 1492-1496.
- [23] Kanto, K., Sone, Y., & Mori, M. (2023). High-precision gear machining based on on-machine 3D measurement. *CIRP Annals*, 72(1), 445–448. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2023.04.071>

- [24] Pei, Y., Xie, H., & Tan, Q. (2019). A non-contact high precision measuring method for the radial runout of cylindrical gear tooth profile. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 138, 106543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2019.106543>
- [25] Sun, Y., Shi, Z., Yu, B., & Li, H. (2022). Incident angle model of measuring light in gear measurement utilizing a line laser sensor and analysis on its influence factors. *Measurement*, 203, 111838. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2022.111838>
- [26] Shang, Z., Wang, J., Zhao, L., Du, H., Yin, P., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Measurement of gear tooth profiles using incoherent line structured light. *Measurement*, 189, 110450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2021.110450>
- [27] Bartkowiak, T., Gapiński, B., Wieczorowski, M., Mietliński, P., & Brown, C. A. (2023). Capturing and characterizing geometric complexities of metal additively manufactured parts using x-ray micro-computed tomography and multiscale curvature analyses. *Surface Topography Metrology and Properties*, 11(1), 014002. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2051-672x/acb3e7>
- [28] Heller, I., Bateni, S. H., & Christoph, R. (2024). Chapter 17 - Complementary properties of optical, tactile, and computed tomography measurement principles. In *Polymer Gears* by Elsevier eBooks (pp. 399–422). <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-443-21457-8.00019-4>
- [29] Stein, M., Wedmann, A., Jantzen, S., Hierse, K., & Kniel, K. (2020). Involute gear calibration using tactile CMMs in scanning mode. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 31(7), 075003. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6501/ab7bfe>
- [30] Urbas, U., Ariansyah, D., Erkoyuncu, J. A., & Vukašinović*, N. (2021). Augmented reality aided inspection of gears. *Tehnicki vjesnik (Technical Gazette)*, 28(3). <https://doi.org/10.17559/tv-20200728151912>
- [31] Wieczorowski, M., Kucharski, D., Sniatala, P., Pawlus, P., Krolczyk, G., & Gapinski, B. (2023). A novel approach to using artificial intelligence in coordinate metrology including nano scale. *Measurement*, 217, 113051. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2023.113051>
- [32] Świerek, A., Nowakowski, P., Marciniak-Podsadna, L., & Góral, P. (2024). Comparative studies of the measurement accuracy of basic gear wheel parameters. *Metrology*, 4(3), 469–488. <https://doi.org/10.3390/metrology4030029>
- [33] Pei, Y., Xie, H., & Tan, Q. (2019b). A non-contact high precision measuring method for the radial runout of cylindrical gear tooth profile. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 138, 106543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2019.106543>
- [34] Sun, W., Symes, D. R., Brenner, C. M., Böhnelt, M., Brown, S., Mavrogordato, M. N., Sinclair, I., & Salamon, M. (2022). Review of high energy x-ray computed tomography for non-destructive dimensional metrology of large metallic advanced manufactured components. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 85(1), 016102. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/ac43f6>
- [35] Xu, J., Fang, Z., Malcolm, A., & Wang, H. (2004). A robust close-range photogrammetric system for industrial metrology. In *7th International Conference on Control, Automation, Robotics and Vision, ICARCV 2002* (Vol. 1, pp. 114–119). <https://doi.org/10.1109/icarcv.2002.1234806>
- [36] Guehring, J. (2000). Dense 3D surface acquisition by structured light using off-the-shelf components. *Proceedings of SPIE, the International Society for Optical Engineering*, 4309, 220–231. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.410877>
- [37] Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology. (2008). *JCGM 100: Evaluation of Measurement Data – Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement*. http://www.bipm.org/utils/common/documents/jcgm/JCGM_100_2008_E.pdf
- [38] Spur Gears – Klingelnberg PNC 35 Software, user manual



Agata Świerek, M.Sc. Eng., laboratory technician at the Institute of Mechanical Engineering, University of Kalisz. She is the chairwoman of the team that leads the mechanical profile at the Technical and Electronic School Complex in Kalisz. Her research interests include industrial metrology and materials science.



Piotr Góral Ph.D., assistant at the Department of Electronic Systems and Signal Processing at the Poznań University of Technology. He serves as the supervisor of the Inter-Faculty Student Research Group "Decybel". He coordinates projects related to industrial optical metrology. His research interests also include artificial intelligence systems in controlling autonomous vehicles in closed areas.



Paweł Nowakowski, M.Sc. Eng., head of the Mechanical Laboratory at the Institute of Mechanics of the University of Kalisz. He conducts research in the field of geometric measurements, coordinate measurements, gear measurements, surface stereometry, strength, and material tests. His area of interest also includes optical scanners and 3D printing.



Lidia Marciniak-Podsadna Ph.D., assistant at Division of Metrology and Measuring System at Poznań University of Technology. Research interests focus on the measurement of geometrical quantities, with particular emphasis on coordinate metrology for multiscale applications, the application of confocal phenomena at the macroscale, and the automation of optical scanning processes in multiscale measurements.



Wiktor Pietrasiak, electronics technician, graduated from the Technical and Electronic School Complex in Kalisz. He performs research and development work in the family company Heating and Sanitary Engineering Bogdan Pietrasiak. His research interests include metrology of non-electrical quantities and mechanical engineering.



Prof. Michał Wieczorowski, Ph.D., D.Sc. Head of Division of Metrology and Measurement Systems at Poznań University of Technology, president of the Polish Chamber of Hydrogen, co-founder of ITA, one of the largest Polish metrology enterprises, Granted professor title in 2015. Fulbright scholar at Northwestern University (USA), Visiting Professor at Université Polytechnique Hauts-de-France, Valenciennes (France). Invited lectures at University of North Carolina in Charlotte (USA), Ecole Centrale de Lyon, Ecully (France), Universidad de Vigo (Spain), University of Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania), Technical University of Sofia, Sofia (Bulgaria), Technical University of Chisinau, Chisinau (Moldova), University of Montenegro, Podgorica (Montenegro). Scientific interest: topography analysis, nanometrology, coordinate measurement technique, optical scanning, photogrammetry, reverse engineering, and computed tomography.